arXiv:1006.4347v2 [math.AT] 3 Dec 2010

TOPOLOGICAL HOCHSCHILD HOMOLOGY OF K/p AS A $K_p^\wedge$ MODULE

SAMIK BASU[1]

Abstract. Let R be an E_∞-ring spectrum. Given a map ζ from a space X to BGL_1R, one can construct a Thom spectrum, X^ζ, which generalises the classical notion of Thom spectrum for spherical fibrations in the case $R = S^0$, the sphere spectrum. If X is a loop space ($\simeq \Omega Y$) and ζ is homotopy equivalent to Ωf for a map f from Y to B^2GL_1R, then the Thom spectrum has an A_∞-ring structure. The Topological Hochschild Homology of these A_∞-ring spectra is equivalent to the Thom spectrum of a map out of the free loop space of Y.

This paper considers the case $X = S^1$, $R = K_p^\wedge$, the p-adic K-theory spectrum, and $\zeta = 1 - p \in \pi_1 BGL_1 K_p^\wedge$. The associated Thom spectrum $(S^1)^\zeta$ is equivalent to the mod p K-theory spectrum K/p. The map ζ is homotopy equivalent to a loop map, so the Thom spectrum has an A_∞-ring structure. I will compute $\pi_* THH^{K_p^\wedge}(K/p)$ using its description as a Thom spectrum.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
1.1. K/p as a module over $K_p^\wedge$ 2
1.2. Topological Hochschild Homology of Thom spectra 2
2. The Thom spectrum 3
2.1. The space of units and the Thom spectrum 3
2.2. Computations of some Thom spectra 5
2.3. Ring Structures 6
3. Topological Hochschild Homology of Thom spectra 7
3.1. Identifying Topological Hochschild Homology as a Thom spectrum 8
3.2. The example of $G = S^1$ and $R = K_p^\wedge$ 9
4. The Structure of $GL_1(K_p^\wedge)$ 12
5. Calculation of THH 16
5.1. Calculation at the prime 3 17
5.2. Calculation at primes ≥ 5 22
References 29

1. Introduction

The goal of this paper is to use generalised Thom spectra to calculate the Topological Hochschild Homology of K/p in the category of modules over $K_p^\wedge$.

---

[1] supported in part by ERC-Adg TMSS-228082

Let R be a ring spectrum and GL_1R its space of units. It is the H-space of homotopy automorphisms of R as an R-module. An R-twisting of a space X is a continuous map ζ from X to BGL_1R. Associated to ζ, one can define the Thom spectrum of ζ, X^ζ (see [2]). This notion specialises for $R = S^0$ to the Thom spectrum of a spherical fibration. The homotopy groups of X^ζ is the group of twisted R homology classes with respect to the twisting ζ.

Suppose that R is an E_∞-ring spectrum. Then its space of units is an infinite loop space. Given a map $f : BG \to B^2GL_1R$, let $\zeta \simeq \Omega f : G \to BGL_1R$. Then the Thom spectrum G^ζ admits an A_∞ R-algebra structure.

1.1. K/p as a module over $K_p^\wedge$.

Suppose that $R = K_p^\wedge$, the spectrum of p-adic K-theory. Let G be the group S^1. A twisting on S^1 is a map $\zeta : S^1 \to BGL_1K_p^\wedge$. This is classified by the group $\pi_1(BGL_1K_p^\wedge) \cong \pi_0(GL_1K_p^\wedge) \cong Z_p^\times$. If we choose $\zeta = 1 - p \in Z_p^\times$, then the Thom spectrum $(S^1)^\zeta \simeq K/p$, the *mod p* K-theory spectrum. Moreover, the twisting ζ can be realised as a loop map, and so, for every way of writing $\zeta \simeq \Omega f$ we get an A_∞-ring structure on K/p as an $K_p^\wedge$-module.

1.2. Topological Hochschild Homology of Thom spectra.

Given a map f from X to B^2GL_1R, let $G \simeq \Omega X$ and $\zeta \simeq \Omega f : G \simeq \Omega X \to BGL_1R$. In this case, the Thom spectrum G^ζ has an A_∞-ring structure. We write η^*f for the composite

$$\begin{tikzcd}
LX \arrow[r] & LB^2GL_1R \arrow[r, "\cong"] & B^2GL_1R \times BGL_1R \arrow[d, "\eta \times id"] & \\
& & BGL_1R \times BGL_1R \arrow[r] & BGL_1R
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\eta : \Sigma R \to R$ is induced from $S^1 \xrightarrow{\eta} S^0$ via $S^1 \wedge R \to S^0 \wedge R \simeq R$. In the above situation, $THH^R(G^\zeta) \simeq LX^{\eta^* f}$. The case $R = S^0$ was proved in [4]. The same argument applies for general R.

Using this identification of THH as a Thom spectrum, we compute the Topological Hochschild Homology of K/p. For odd primes p,

$$\pi_*(THH^{K_p^\wedge}(K/p)) = \begin{cases} (Z/(p^\infty))^i & \text{if } * = 2k \\ 0 & \text{if } * = 2k+1 \end{cases}$$

where i is an integer between 1 and $p-1$ depending on the choice of f with $\zeta \simeq \Omega f$.

Similar results were obtained before by Angeltveit in [1]. He used the Bökstedt spectral sequence (see [5], chapter IX).

We can also form *mod p* K-theory as a Thom spectrum by starting with $X = S^3$, $R = K_p^\wedge$ and $\zeta = p \in \pi_3(BGL_1K_p^\wedge) = \pi_2(GL_1K_p^\wedge) = Z_p$. Again, this ζ can be realised as a loop map and we can compute THH of these A_∞-ring structures in an analogous way. This gives the same results.

2. THE THOM SPECTRUM

The notion of a generalised Thom spectrum used here is discussed in detail in [2]. The construction resembles a twisted version of the group ring. Given an extension of a group G by the units in a field k,

$$(\tau) : 1 \to k^* \to E \to G \to 1$$

the algebra $k^\tau[G] = \mathbb{Z}[E] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[k^*]} k$ is a twisted group ring. If the extension τ is trivial, one gets the group ring $k[G]$. Imitating this definition of a twisted group ring for spectra leads to the construction of the Thom spectrum. One replaces the field k by an E_∞-ring spectrum R, and the units k^* by the space of units GL_1R acting on R.

2.1. **The space of units and the Thom spectrum.**

The space of units of a ring spectrum is a generalisation of the group of units of a commutative ring, the set of invertible elements under multiplication. It is defined to be the components of $\Omega^\infty R$ that lie over the units in $\pi_0(R)$. Following [2], we make the definition

Definition 2.1. Let R be an E_∞-ring spectrum. Its space of units GL_1R is defined to be the homotopy pullback,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
GL_1R \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Omega^\infty(R) \arrow[d] \\
\pi_0(R)^\times \arrow[r] & \pi_0(R)
\end{tikzcd}$$

It follows from the definition that the homotopy classes of maps from a space X to GL_1R are given by

$$[X, GL_1R] = R^0(X)^\times$$

the units of the cohomology ring $R^0(X) = [X, \Omega^\infty R]$.

From the pullback diagram one can read off the homotopy groups of GL_1R,

$$\pi_n(GL_1R) = \begin{cases} \pi_n(R) & \text{if } n > 0 \\ \pi_0(R)^\times & \text{if } n = 0 \end{cases}$$

We note that GL_1R is an H-space for any ring spectrum R. If R is E_∞, then GL_1R is an infinite loop space: there is a connective spectrum gl_1R with 0^{th}-space is GL_1R (Theorem 3.2 in [2]).

We can view $\Omega^\infty R$ as the space of endomorphisms $End_R(R, R)$, in the topological category of R-modules, and $GL_1R = Aut_R(R, R) \subset End_R(R, R)$ as the subset of weak equivalences. Therefore, the units GL_1R is the space of homotopy automorphisms of R in the category of R-modules. In this way, the infinite loop space GL_1R acts on the spectrum R by weak equivalences, and R is a module over the E_∞ ring spectrum $\Sigma^\infty GL_1R_+$.

Definition 2.2. Given a map $\zeta : X \to BGL_1R$, let P be the GL_1R bundle classified by ζ described as the pullback,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
P \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & EGL_1(R) \arrow[d] \\
X \arrow[r, "\zeta"] & BGL_1(R)
\end{tikzcd}$$

and define the associated Thom spectrum to be

$$X^{\zeta} = \Sigma^{\infty} P_{+} \wedge {}^{L}{}_{\Sigma^{\infty} GL_1(R)_+} R$$

In the above $\wedge^L$ denotes the derived smash product in the category of modules over the E_{∞}-ring spectrum $\Sigma^{\infty} GL_1 R_+$ as in [5]. We note from section 7 of [2], that the Thom spectrum functor commutes with homotopy colimits, and from section 8.6 of [2] that it generalises the classical Thom spectrum of a spherical fibration.

The Thom spectrum of the map $* \to BGL_1 R$ is weakly equivalent to R, since the universal bundle associated to the inclusion of a point in $BGL_1 R$ is isomorphic to $GL_1 R$ and $\Sigma^{\infty} GL_1 R_+ \wedge^L_{\Sigma^{\infty} GL_1 R_+} R \simeq R$.

Similarly, the Thom spectrum of a map $X \to BGL_1 R$ which is null homotopic is weakly equivalent to $R \wedge X_+$. Indeed, the universal bundle associated to the constant map is $X \times GL_1 R$. Then the Thom spectrum is $\Sigma^{\infty}(X \times GL_1 R)_+ \wedge^L_{\Sigma^{\infty} GL_1 R_+} R \simeq (\Sigma^{\infty} X_+ \wedge \Sigma^{\infty} GL_1 R_+) \wedge^L_{\Sigma^{\infty} GL_1 R_+} R \simeq R \wedge X_+$.

Suppose that the space $X \simeq \Sigma Y$, the reduced suspension on Y. Then, a map $X \xrightarrow{\zeta} BGL_1 R$ is described by a map $Y \xrightarrow{\widehat{\zeta}} GL_1 R$, via $[X, BGL_1 R] \cong [\Sigma Y, BGL_1 R] \cong [Y, GL_1 R]$. Such a $\widehat{\zeta}$ is a unit in $R^0(Y)$ which induces $u_{\zeta} : R \wedge Y_+ \to R$.

Proposition 2.3. Suppose that ζ is a map from $X \simeq \Sigma Y$ to $BGL_1 R$. Then, the Thom spectrum X^{ζ} is equivalent to the homotopy colimit of $(R \leftarrow R \wedge Y_+ \to R)$ where one of the maps is the projection p_Y and the other is u_{ζ}.

Proof. The space X is the homotopy colimit of $* \leftarrow Y \to *$, and this gives a homotopy pushout square of Thom spectra,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y^{\zeta} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & *^{\zeta} \arrow[d] \\
*^{\zeta} \arrow[r] & (\Sigma Y)^{\zeta}
\end{tikzcd}$$

The Thom spectrum $*^{\zeta}$ is weakly equivalent to R and $Y^{\zeta} \simeq R \wedge Y_+$, so the homotopy pushout can be written as

$$\begin{tikzcd}
R \wedge Y_+ \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & R \arrow[d] \\
R \arrow[r] & (\Sigma Y)^\zeta
\end{tikzcd}$$

From this, one obtains a Mayer Vietoris sequence for calculating the homotopy groups

$$\ldots \to \pi_*(R \wedge Y_+) \to \pi_*(R) \oplus \pi_*(R) \to \pi_*((\Sigma Y)^\zeta) \ldots$$

To compute the maps in this sequence, one must examine the GL_1R-bundle over $X \simeq \Sigma Y$. This restricts to trivial bundles over the two copies of the cone of Y inside X and on their intersection Y, the bundles are identified via the map $\widehat{\zeta} : Y \to GL_1R$.

In the long exact sequence, there are two maps $R_*(Y_+) \to \pi_*(R)$. One of these maps is given by the map from Y to a point(p_Y) and the other is the map u_ζ defined in the preceeding paragraph. □

Remark 2.4. The proposition describes the homotopy groups of the Thom spectrum as twisted R-homology groups. An R-twisting on a space X can be defined as a 1-cocycle in the sheaf (of groupoids) - $\{\mathit{units\ in}\, R^0(X)\}$. The groupoid of units in R^0 is classified by the units GL_1R, and therefore, 1-cocycles on X are equivalent to $[X, BGL_1R]$. Therefore, a twisting is given by a continuous map ζ from X to BGL_1R.

For $X = \bigcup U_i$ a 1-cocycle defines units over $U_i \cap U_j$ satisfying a cocycle condition on further intersections. A twisted R homology class is an element in each $R_*(U_i)$, two of which are identified using the values of the 1-cocycle on the intersections. The abelian group of these classes is defined to be the twisted R-homology of X with respect to the twisting ζ. This is isomorphic to the homotopy groups of the Thom spectrum X^ζ. The proposition above verifies this in the case $X = \Sigma Y$, where X is the union of two contractible open sets.

2.2. **Computations of some Thom spectra.**

Proposition 2.5. Suppose that $\zeta : S^1 \to BGL_1K_p^\wedge$ represents $1 - p \in \pi_1(BGL_1(K_p^\wedge)) = \pi_0(GL_1(K_p^\wedge)) = Z_p^\times$. Then, $(S^1)^\zeta \simeq K/p$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.3 with $Y = S^0$, the Thom spectrum is a homotopy pushout

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_p^\wedge \vee K_p^\wedge \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & K_p^\wedge \arrow[d] \\
K_p^\wedge \arrow[r] & (S^1)^\zeta
\end{tikzcd}$$

Therefore, there is a cofibre sequence

$$K_p^\wedge \vee K_p^\wedge \to K_p^\wedge \vee K_p^\wedge \to (S^1)^\zeta$$

Proposition 2.3 also identifies the left map in the sequence in suitable coordinates, to be given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1-p \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore, the cofibre sequence can be rewritten as

$$K_p^\wedge \xrightarrow{p} K_p^\wedge \to (S^1)^\zeta$$

so that $(S^1)^\zeta \simeq K_p^\wedge/p \simeq K/p$. □

Remark 2.6. Consider the map $\zeta : S^1 \to BGL_1((S^0)_p^\wedge)$ given by $(1-p)$ as in the previous proposition. Then, $(S^1)^\zeta \simeq (S^0)_p^\wedge/p \simeq M_p$ is the *mod* p Moore spectrum. In fact, for any $\zeta : S^1 \to BGL_1 R$, $(S^1)^\zeta \simeq$ *cofibre*$(1-\zeta : R \to R)$. This follows from the argument above.

Proposition 2.7. Let $\zeta : S^3 \to BGL_1 K_p^\wedge$ represent the element p of

$$[S^3, BGL_1(K_p^\wedge)] = \pi_3(BGL_1(K_p^\wedge)) = \pi_2(GL_1(K_p^\wedge)) = \pi_2(K_p^\wedge) \cong Z_p$$

Then $(S^3)^\zeta \simeq K/p$.

Proof. The space S^3 is homotopy equivalent to the suspension of S^2. Proposition 2.3 implies the homotopy pushout

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_p^\wedge \wedge S^2_+ \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & K_p^\wedge \arrow[d] \\
K_p^\wedge \arrow[r] & (S^3)^\zeta
\end{tikzcd}$$

and the associated Mayer Vietoris cofibre sequence

$$K_p^\wedge \wedge (S^2) \vee K_p^\wedge \to K_p^\wedge \vee K_p^\wedge \to (S^3)^\zeta.$$

In suitable coordinates, the map in the Mayer Vietoris sequence is given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & p \end{pmatrix}$$

and the sequence can be rewritten as

$$\Sigma^2 K_p^\wedge \xrightarrow{p} K_p^\wedge \to (S^3)^\zeta$$

By Bott periodicity $\Sigma^2 K_p^\wedge \simeq K_p^\wedge$ so that $(S^3)^\zeta \simeq K_p^\wedge/p$, as claimed. □

2.3. **Ring Structures.** Suppose R is an E_∞-ring spectrum so that $GL_1 R$ is an infinite loop space. Given $f : X \to B^2 GL_1 R$, and $\zeta : G \simeq \Omega X \xrightarrow{\Omega f} BGL_1 R$, the Thom spectrum G^ζ has an A_∞-ring structure. This follows from [3] and [2]. This raises the question when a map

$$\zeta : G \to BGL_1 R$$

from a monoid G is homotopy equivalent to a loop map, i.e. $\zeta \simeq \Omega f$ for

$$f : BG \to B^2 GL_1 R.$$

We have the standard maps

$$\Sigma G \xrightarrow{\sigma} BG,\ \Sigma GL_1 R \xrightarrow{\sigma} BGL_1 R$$

so the question is if

$$\sigma \circ \Sigma\zeta : \Sigma G \to B^2GL_1R$$

extends over BG,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Sigma G \arrow[r, "\Sigma\zeta"] \arrow[d, "\sigma"] & \Sigma BGL_1(R) \arrow[d, "\sigma"] \\
BG \arrow[r, "f"] & B^2GL_1(R)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proposition 2.8. Let $G = S^1$, $R = K_p^\wedge$ and $\zeta = 1 - p$ as in Proposition 2.5, then $(S^1)^\zeta \simeq K/p$ has an A_∞-ring structure.

Proof. The classifying space of S^1 is CP^∞ so, in this case the diagram above is

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S^2 \arrow[r, "\Sigma(1-p)"] \arrow[d, "\sigma"] & \Sigma BGL_1(K_p^\wedge) \arrow[d, "\sigma"] \\
CP^\infty \arrow[r, "f"] & B^2GL_1(K_p^\wedge)
\end{tikzcd}$$

The space CP^∞ has a CW structure made of even dimensional cells so that all the cells are attached along odd dimensional spheres. The spectrum $K_p^\wedge$ has non trivial homotopy groups only in even dimensions and hence, so does $B^2GL_1K_p^\wedge$. Thus, all the obstructions to extending the map $\Sigma 1 - p$ must vanish, which implies that there is an A_∞-ring structure on the Thom spectrum K/p. □

Proposition 2.9. Suppose that $G = S^3$, $R = K_p^\wedge$, and $\zeta = p$ as in Proposition 2.7, then the Thom spectrum has an A_∞-ring structure.

Proof. The classifying space of S^3 is the infinite quarternionic projective space HP^∞, and $\Sigma S^3 = S^4 \to BS^3 = HP^\infty$ is obtained by attaching even cells along maps of odd dimensional spheres. Therefore the extension problem can always be solved. □

3. TOPOLOGICAL HOCHSCHILD HOMOLOGY OF THOM SPECTRA

In the last section, we observed that the Thom spectrum of a loop map carries an induced A_∞ structure. In this setting, there is a convenient description of the Topological Hochschild Homology as a Thom spectrum in the ideas of [4] and [10]. In the following G will be a group, X a space, and G homotopy equivalent to ΩX as A_∞-spaces. R will be an E_∞ ring spectrum.

The Thom spectrum of a map $G \to BGL_1R$ is a twisted R-module generated by G. If this is a loop map, the construction is that of a twisted group ring. Recall that the Hochschild Homology of group rings over a field is given by

$$HH_*(k[G]) \cong k \otimes H_*(G, G)$$

where G acts on itself by conjugation. This is the homology of the Borel construction $G_{hG} \simeq EG \times_G G \simeq LBG$, the free loop space of BG, and so, $HH_*(k[G]) \cong k \otimes H_*(LBG)$.

The analogous statement for Topological Hochschild Homology is the classical result of Bökstedt and Waldhausen,

$$THH(\Sigma^\infty \Omega X_+) \simeq \Sigma^\infty LX_+.$$

In the category of R-modules, the theorem is $THH^R(R \wedge \Omega X_+) \simeq R \wedge LX_+$, computing the Topological Hochschild Homology of the Thom spectrum of the constant map. More generally, let $f : X \to BGL_1R$ and $\zeta \simeq \Omega f : G \to BGL_1R$, the Thom spectrum has an A_∞-ring structure, and the Topological Hochschild Homology is the Thom spectrum of a map from LX to BGL_1R.

In the second part of the section, we apply the theorem for $R = K_p^\wedge$ and $G = S^1$, in the computation of the previous section. This implies that the Thom spectrum is homotopy equivalent to the cofibre of a certain map $K_p^\wedge \wedge CP_+^\infty \to K_p^\wedge \wedge CP_+^\infty$.

3.1. **Identifying Topological Hochschild Homology as a Thom spectrum.** Recall that the free loop space LY fits into a fibration

$$\Omega Y \to LY \to Y$$

If Y is an H-space, then the fibration splits as $LY \simeq Y \times \Omega Y$. This is an equivalence of H-spaces if Y is homotopy commutative.

Let f be a map from X to B^2GL_1R and $\eta : B^2GL_1R \to \Omega B^2GL_1R$ be induced from the Hopf map by

$$\begin{aligned} B^2GL_1R \simeq Maps(S^2, B^4GL_1R) &\xrightarrow{\eta^*} Maps(S^3, B^4GL_1R) \\ &\simeq Maps(S^1, \Omega^2 B^4GL_1R) \\ &\simeq Maps(S^1, B^2GL_1R) \\ &\simeq \Omega B^2GL_1R. \end{aligned}$$

Let $L^\eta f$ be the map from LX to BGL_1R defined by the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} LX \arrow[r, "Lf"] \arrow[rrdd, "L^\eta f"] & LB^2GL_1(R) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & B^2GL_1(R) \times \Omega B^2GL_1(R) \arrow[d, "\eta \times id"] \\ & & \Omega B^2GL_1(R) \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\ & & BGL_1(R) \end{tikzcd}$$

The map $\eta \times id : B^2GL_1R \times \Omega B^2GL_1R \to \Omega B^2GL_1R$ is the product of the maps η and id using the H-space structure of ΩB^2GL_1R. Without proof, we state:

Theorem 3.1. There is a homotopy equivalence

$$THH^R(G^\zeta) \simeq (LX)^{L^\eta f}$$

This was proved in the case of the sphere spectrum in [4], [10]. A similar argument applies for any E_∞-ring spectrum R. This will be accomplished in a future publication.

3.2. **The example of $G = S^1$ and $R = K_p^\wedge$.** By Proposition 2.8, we have the commutative diagram,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S^2 \arrow[r, "\Sigma 1 - p"] \arrow[d, "\sigma"] & \Sigma BGL_1(K_p^\wedge) \arrow[d, "\sigma"] \\
CP^\infty \arrow[r, "f"] & B^2GL_1(K_p^\wedge)
\end{tikzcd}$$

and write $THH^{K_p^\wedge}(K/p, f)$ for the Topological Hochschild Homology corresponding to this A_∞-ring structure.

Proposition 3.2.

$$THH^{K_p^\wedge}(K/p, f) \simeq (LCP^\infty)^{\widehat{f}}$$

where $\widehat{f}$ is the composite,

$$LCP^\infty \overset{Lf}{\to} LB^2GL_1K_p^\wedge \simeq B^2GL_1K_p^\wedge \times BGL_1K_p^\wedge \overset{p_2}{\to} BGL_1K_p^\wedge$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.1, $THH^{K_p^\wedge}(K/p, f) \simeq (LCP^\infty)^{L^\eta f}$. Since $\pi_1(K_p^\wedge) = 0$, $\eta = 0$ in this case. Hence, the proposition. ☐

The focus of the rest of the paper will be the calculation of $\pi_*((LCP^\infty)^{\widehat{f}}) \cong THH^{K_p^\wedge}(K/p, f)$. First of all we note that:

Proposition 3.3. There is a long exact sequence

$$K_p^\wedge{}_* CP^\infty \to K_p^\wedge{}_* CP^\infty \to \pi_* THH^{K_p^\wedge}(K/p, f) \to K_p^\wedge{}_{*-1} CP^\infty \ldots$$

Proof. Note that CP^∞ is an infinite loop space, and hence homotopy commutative, which implies that $LCP^\infty \simeq \Omega CP^\infty \times CP^\infty \simeq S^1 \times CP^\infty$. The space S^1 is a union of two contractible open sets whose intersection is S^0, so, there is a homotopy pushout,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
CP^\infty \sqcup CP^\infty \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & CP^\infty \arrow[d] \\
CP^\infty \arrow[r] & LCP^\infty
\end{tikzcd} \qquad (*)$$

and hence, a homotopy pushout square of Thom spectra

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(CP^\infty \sqcup CP^\infty)^{\widehat{f}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & (CP^\infty)^{\widehat{f}} \arrow[d] \\
(CP^\infty)^{\widehat{f}} \arrow[r] & (LCP^\infty)^{\widehat{f}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

The two maps $CP^\infty \to LCP^\infty$ in (*) are the inclusion of constant loops, so, the two compositions $CP^\infty \to LCP^\infty \to LB^2GL_1K_p^\wedge \to BGL_1K_p^\wedge$ are nullhomotopic and the Thom spectra are $\simeq K_p^\wedge \wedge CP_+^\infty$. The map from $CP^\infty \sqcup CP^\infty$ to $BGL_1K_p^\wedge$ factors through $CP^\infty \to BGL_1K_p^\wedge$ so, the Thom spectrum $(CP^\infty \sqcup CP^\infty)^f \simeq K_p^\wedge \wedge CP_+^\infty \vee K_p^\wedge \wedge CP_+^\infty$. Therefore, the pushout can be written as:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_p^\wedge \wedge CP_+^\infty \vee K_p^\wedge \wedge CP_+^\infty \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & K_p^\wedge \wedge CP_+^\infty \arrow[d] \\
K_p^\wedge \wedge CP_+^\infty \arrow[r] & (LCP^\infty)^{Lf}
\end{tikzcd}$$

This gives a Mayer Vietoris sequence on homotopy groups,

$$\ldots \to {K_p^\wedge}_*(CP^\infty) \oplus {K_p^\wedge}_*(CP^\infty) \to {K_p^\wedge}_*(CP^\infty) \oplus {K_p^\wedge}_*(CP^\infty) \to \pi_*((LCP^\infty)^{Lf}) \ldots$$

To simplify, one needs to understand the left hand map i.e., how $K_p^\wedge \wedge CP_+^\infty \vee K_p^\infty \wedge CP_+^\infty$ maps to the two different copies of $K_p^\wedge \wedge CP_+^\infty$ in the pushout square. For that one needs to examine the structure of $P^{\widehat{f}}$, the $GL_1K_p^\wedge$-bundle over $S^1 \times CP^\infty$ classified by $\widehat{f}$.

Following the pushout square (*), we see that $P^{\widehat{f}}$ is obtained by identifying two trivial bundles over CP^∞ after restricting over $CP^\infty \sqcup CP^\infty$, via a map $u : CP^\infty \sqcup CP^\infty \to GL_1K_p^\wedge$. The adjoint of u is the map $\widetilde{u}$ in the diagram,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
CP^\infty \sqcup CP^\infty \arrow[r] & CP^\infty \vee CP^\infty \arrow[r] \arrow[dr, "0"] & S^1 \times CP^\infty \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Sigma CP_+^\infty \vee \Sigma CP_+^\infty \arrow[dl, "\widetilde{u}"] \\
 & & BGL_1K_p^\wedge &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The top row is the cofibre sequence associated to the pushout (*). Since the map $S^1 \times CP^\infty \to BGL_1K_p^\wedge$ is nullhomotopic on $CP^\infty \vee CP^\infty$, it factors through $\Sigma CP_+^\infty \vee \Sigma CP_+^\infty$ as $\widetilde{u}$.

The map u gives two units u_1, u_2 in the $K_p^{\wedge 0}(CP^\infty)$. In the Mayer Vietoris sequence for the Thom spectrum, these describe the map $K_p^\wedge \wedge CP_+^\infty \vee K_p^\wedge \wedge CP_+^\infty \to K_p^\wedge \wedge CP_+^\infty \vee K_p^\wedge \wedge CP_+^\infty$ as the matrix,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_2 \\ u_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

In fact, u_1 and u_2 are equal because each summand in ΣCP^∞_+ of $\Sigma CP^\infty_+ \vee \Sigma CP^\infty_+$ is the cofibre of the map $CP^\infty \to LCP^\infty = S^1 \times CP^\infty$ given by the inclusion of the constant loops and both can be defined by the same diagram,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
CP^\infty \arrow[d] \arrow[rrd, "0"] & & \\
S^1 \times CP^\infty \arrow[rr, "\widehat{f}"] \arrow[d] & & BGL_1(K_p^\wedge) \\
\Sigma CP^\infty_+ \arrow[rru, "u"] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

In terms of u, we can rewrite the Mayer Vietoris sequence as the long exact sequence,

$$\dots \to {K_p^\wedge}_*(CP^\infty) \overset{u-1}{\to} {K_p^\wedge}_*(CP^\infty) \to \pi_*((LCP^\infty)^{Lf}) \to \dots \qquad (\alpha)$$

□

To calculate $\pi_*(THH^{K_p^\wedge}(K/p, f))$, it remains to understand the map u. This is done as follows:

Proposition 3.4. The adjoint of the map $u : \Sigma CP^\infty_+ \to BGL_1R$, is homotopy equivalent to the composite $\Sigma^2 CP^\infty_+ \overset{\mu}{\to} CP^\infty \overset{f}{\to} B^2GL_1K_p^\wedge$, where μ is the composition $\Sigma^2 CP^\infty_+ \simeq S^2 \wedge CP^\infty_+ \overset{\sigma\wedge id}{\to} CP^\infty \wedge CP^\infty_+ \to CP^\infty$.

Proof. The following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S^1 \wedge (S^1 \times CP^\infty) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & S^1 \wedge LCP^\infty \arrow[r, "S^1 \wedge Lf"] \arrow[d, "ev"] & S^1 \wedge LB^2GL_1(K_p^\wedge) \arrow[d, "ev"] \\
& CP^\infty \arrow[r, "f"] & B^2GL_1(K_p^\wedge)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Consider the inclusion of the based loops $BGL_1K_p^\wedge \hookrightarrow LB^2GL_1K_p^\wedge$. Under the composite,

$$S^1 \times BGL_1K_p^\wedge \to S^1 \times LB^2GL_1K_p^\wedge \overset{ev}{\to} B^2GL_1K_p^\wedge,$$

the copies $S^1 \times *$ and $* \times BGL_1K_p^\wedge$ map trivially. Thus, it factors through $S^1 \wedge BGL_1K_p^\wedge$ as $\Sigma BGL_1K_p^\wedge \overset{\sigma}{\to} B^2GL_1K_p^\wedge$. We are trying to figure out the map

$$S^1 \times LCP^\infty \to S^1 \times LB^2GL_1K_p^\wedge \to S^1 \times BGL_1K_p^\wedge \to B^2GL_1K_p^\wedge$$

Then, this factors through

$$S^1 \wedge LCP^\infty \to S^1 \wedge LB^2GL_1K_p^\wedge \to S^1 \wedge BGL_1K_p^\wedge \overset{\sigma}{\to} B^2GL_1K_p^\wedge.$$

Also $LCP^\infty \to BGL_1K_p^\wedge$ factors through $S^1 \wedge CP_+^\infty$ as u. Putting all the remarks together, we have a commutative diagram,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S^2 \wedge CP_+^\infty \arrow[rrr, "\Sigma u"] \arrow[d] & & & S^1 \wedge BGL_1K_p^\wedge \arrow[dl] \arrow[dd, "\sigma"] \\
S^1 \wedge (S^1 \times CP^\infty) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & S^1 \wedge LCP^\infty \arrow[ul] \arrow[urr, "\Sigma\widehat{f}"] \arrow[r, "S^1 \wedge Lf"] \arrow[d, "ev"] & S^1 \wedge LB^2GL_1(K_p^\wedge) \arrow[dr, "ev"] & \\
 & CP^\infty \arrow[rr, "f"] & & B^2GL_1(K_p^\wedge)
\end{tikzcd}$$

The left hand vertical map from $S^2 \wedge CP_+^\infty$ to $S^1 \wedge (S^1 \times CP^\infty)$ is the inclusion of a factor in the splitting of the suspension of $S^1 \wedge (S^1 \times CP^\infty) \simeq (S^2 \wedge CP_+^\infty) \vee (S^1 \wedge CP^\infty)$.

It follows that $\widetilde{u} \simeq \sigma \circ \Sigma u \simeq f \circ g$, where,

$$g : S^2 \times \Sigma CP_+^\infty \to S^1 \wedge (S^1 \times CP^\infty) \simeq S^1 \wedge LCP^\infty \overset{ev}{\to} CP^\infty$$

and the composition $g \simeq \mu$. ☐

4. The Structure of $GL_1(K_p^\wedge)$

In this section, we prove a splitting of $GL_1K_p^\wedge$ using the logarithm $l_p : gl_1K_p^\wedge \to K_p^\wedge$ defined by Rezk (see [9]). Throughout this section, we assume that p is an odd prime.

Proposition 4.1. (Rezk, [9]) Let R be an E_∞ ring spectrum. Then there is a logarithmic cohomology operation, $l_{p,n}$, from $gl_1(R)$ to $L_{K(n)}(R)$ for every n, and prime p. If R is $K(n)$-local, this is a map from $gl_1(R)$ to R. When $n = 1$, $l_p : gl_1R \to R$ is given by the formula:

$$l_p(x) = -\frac{1}{p}log(\frac{\psi(x)}{x^p})$$

[Recall that a θ-algebra structure is described by operations ψ and θ (ψ is a ring homomorphism) such that $\psi(x) = x^p + p\theta(x)$.]

Proposition 4.2. Suppose that $R = K_p^\wedge$. The operation $l_p : gl_1 K_p^\wedge \to K_p^\wedge$ factors through $ku_p^\wedge$, the connective cover of $K_p^\wedge$. On homotopy groups, the map is an isomorphism on π_n for $n > 2$. At $n = 2$, it is 0. And for $n = 0$, this is the map

$$Z_p^\times \cong Z/(p-1) \times Z_p \xrightarrow{p_2} Z_p$$

Proof. The spectrum $K_p^\wedge$ is $K(1)$-local, and the operation ψ is the Adams operation ψ_p. Since $gl_1 K_p^\wedge$ is connective, the map l_p factors through $ku_p^\wedge$. Recall, that the homotopy groups of $gl_1 K_p^\wedge$ are given by

$$\pi_n(gl_1 K_p^\wedge) = \begin{cases} ({K_p^\wedge}^0(S^n))^\times = \pi_n(K_p^\wedge) & \text{if } n > 0 \\ ({K_p^\wedge}^0(S^0))^\times = \pi_0(K_p^\wedge)^\times & \text{if } n = 0 \end{cases}$$

Since $\pi_n K_p^\wedge$ is nonzero only for even n, it suffices to restrict our attention to even dimensional spheres. The K-theory of S^{2n} is generated by ϵ where $1 - \epsilon =$ the tangent bundle of S^{2n}. Hence,

$$\pi_{2n}(gl_1(K_p^\wedge)) = \widetilde{gl_1(K_p^\wedge)}^0(S^{2n}) = (\widetilde{K_p^\wedge}^0(S^{2n}))^\times = 1 + \epsilon\pi_{2n}(K_p^\wedge)$$

To calculate l_p on $\pi_{2n} gl_1 K_p^\wedge$, one needs to compute $l_p(1 + k\epsilon)$ for $1 + k\epsilon \in gl_1 {K_p^\wedge}^0(S^{2n}) = \pi_0(gl_1({K_p^\wedge}^{S^{2n}}))$. To accomplish this, we need to calculate $\psi_p(\epsilon)$. The map $p : (S^2)^n \to S^{2n}$ which quotients out the lower cells, induces an injection in K-theory, and splits ϵ as the product

$$p^*(\epsilon) = \prod(1 - L_i)$$

where L_i is the canonical line bundle over the i^{th} copy of $S^2 = CP^1$. Since the Adams operation ψ_p raises line bundles to the p^{th} power,

$$\psi_p(L_i) = L_i^p$$

$$\begin{aligned} \implies \psi_p(1 - L_i) &= 1 - L_i^p \\ &= 1 - (1 - (1 - L_i))^p \end{aligned}$$

The element $1 - L_i$ lies in the K-theory of S^2, so it squares to 0. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_p(1 - L_i) &= 1 - (1 - p(1 - L_i)) \\ &= p(1 - L_i) \end{aligned}$$

$$\implies \psi_p(\epsilon) = p^n\epsilon.$$

$$\implies \psi_p(1 + \epsilon) = 1 + p^n\epsilon$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} l_p(1 + k\epsilon) &= -\frac{1}{p} log(\frac{\psi(1 + k\epsilon)}{(1 + k\epsilon)^p}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{p} log(\frac{1 + p^n k\epsilon}{(1 + k\epsilon)^p}) \\ &\equiv -\frac{1}{p} log(1 + (p^n - p)k\epsilon)\,(mod\ p) \end{aligned}$$

which becomes multiplication by $1 - p^{n-1}$ $(mod\ p)$ if $n > 0$. Since the homotopy group $\pi_{2n}(gl_1 K_p^{\wedge}) = Z_p$ for $n > 0$, this is an isomorphism for $n > 1$. For $n = 1$, this map is 0. For $n = 0$, the map $l_p : Z_p^{\times} \cong \mu_{p-1} \times Z_p \to Z_p$ is given by

$$-\frac{1}{p} log(x^{1-p})$$

This map has kernel ν_{p-1}, the group of $(p-1)^{st}$ roots of unity, as it takes p-adic integers of the form $1 + pk$ to

$$\begin{aligned} l_p(1+pk) &= -\frac{1}{p} log((1+pk)^{1-p}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{p} log(1 + p(1-p)k) \\ &= -(1-p)k + O(p) \\ &\equiv -k(mod\ p) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the map l_p on $Z_p^{\times} = \nu_{p-1} \times Z_p$, has kernel ν_{p-1} and is an isomorphism onto Z_p . □

Recall that the spectrum $ku_p^{\wedge}$ splits into Adams summands,

$$ku_p^{\wedge} \simeq B \vee \Sigma^2 B \ldots \Sigma^{2p-4} B$$

where B is the p-adic Adams summand($\pi_*(B) = Z_p[v_1]$). Using this, we identify the image of the logarithmic cohomology operation. We construct $K_p(\widehat{2})$ from the spectrum $ku_p^{\wedge}$ by killing the 2^{nd} homotopy group:

Definition 4.3. Let B_2 be the 2-connective cover of B. Define

$$K_p(\widehat{2}) = B \vee \Sigma^2 B_2 \ldots \vee \Sigma^{2p-4} B$$

Proposition 4.4. There is a split cofibre sequence,

$$H\nu_{p-1} \vee \Sigma^2 HZ_p \to gl_1(K_p^{\wedge}) \to K_p(\widehat{2})$$

Proof. From the definition above, note that $gl_1 K_p^{\wedge} \xrightarrow{l_1} ku_p^{\wedge} \to K_p(\widehat{2})$ is surjective on homotopy groups. The fibre F has homotopy only in dimensions 0 and 2. The Postnikov tower of F then is a cofibre sequence,

$$\Sigma^2 HZ_p \to F \to H\nu_{p-1} \to \Sigma^3 HZ_p$$

Since the group $H^3(H\nu_{p-1}; Z_p) = 0$, the sequence splits and one obtains

$$F \simeq H\nu_{p-1} \vee \Sigma^2 HZ_p$$

Therefore, there is a cofibre sequence

$$H\nu_{p-1} \vee \Sigma^2 HZ_p \to gl_1(K_p^{\wedge}) \to K_p(\widehat{2})$$

The next term in this sequence is

$$\Sigma(H\nu_{p-1} \vee \Sigma^2 HZ_p) \simeq \Sigma H\nu_{p-1} \vee \Sigma^3 HZ_p$$

and the next map is $K_p(\widehat{2}) \to \Sigma H\nu_{p-1} \vee \Sigma^3 HZ_p$. Since the spaces in the Adams summands are retracts of $bu_p^\wedge$, their homology concentrated in even dimensions. Therefore,

$$[\Sigma^{2k}B, \Sigma H\nu_{p-1} \vee \Sigma^3 HZ_p] \cong H^1(B;\nu_{p-1}) \oplus H^3(B;Z_p) \cong 0$$

Since the spectrum B_2 is 3-connected,

$$[\Sigma^2 B_2, \Sigma H\nu_{p-1} \vee \Sigma^3 HZ_p] \cong H^{-1}(B_2;\nu_{p-1}) \oplus H^1(B_2;Z_p) \cong 0$$

$$\implies [K_p(\widehat{2}), H^1(B;\nu_{p-1}) \oplus H^3(B;Z_p)] = 0$$

Hence, the cofibre sequence splits and

$$gl_1(K_p^\wedge) \simeq K_p(\widehat{2}) \vee H\nu_{p-1} \vee \Sigma^2 HZ_p.$$

□

We will use this decomposition later to calculate homotopy classes of extensions. For that, we also have to understand how the splitting looks like when we map a space X to $GL_1(K_p^\wedge)$. Recall, $[X, GL_1(K_p^\wedge)] = {K_p^\wedge}^0(X)^\times$. The map l_p gives the way to map this to $[X, K_p(\widehat{2})]$. The map ${K_p^\wedge}^0(X)^\times \to H^0(X;\nu_{p-1})$ is the composite

$$X \to GL_1(K_p^\wedge) \to \pi_0 GL_1(K_p^\wedge) \cong Z_p^\times \cong \nu_{p-1} \times Z_p \to \nu_{p-1} \simeq K(\nu_{p-1}, 0)$$

The third factor is $\Sigma^2 HZ_p$, and we have to understand the map from $H^2(X;Z_p)$ to ${K_p^\wedge}^0(X)^\times$. Now, $H^2(X;Z_p) = [X, K(Z_p, 2)] = [X, {CP^\infty}_p^\wedge]$. The space CP^∞ classifies line bundles which are invertible elements in K-theory.

Proposition 4.5. The map $H^2(X;Z_p) \to {K_p^\wedge}^0(X)^\times$ is given by $f \in [X, {CP^\infty}_p^\wedge] \mapsto L^f$ where L^f is the line bundle classified by f.

Proof. The formula in the statement of the proposition defines a map of infinite loop spaces $CP_p^{\infty\wedge} \to GL_1 K_p^\wedge$, and hence, a map of spectra $\Sigma^2 HZ_p \to gl_1 K_p^\wedge$. Composing it with l_p, we get

$$\begin{aligned} l_p(L^f) &= -\frac{1}{p} log(\frac{\psi_p(L^f)}{(L^f)^p}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{p} log(\frac{(L^f)^p}{(L^f)^p}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{p} log(1) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

The computation above shows that the composition $\Sigma^2 HZ_p \to gl_1(K_p^\wedge) \to K_p(\widehat{2})$ equals 0. Therefore, it factors through $\nu_{p-1} \times \Sigma^2 HZ_p$ in the diagram,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \Sigma^2 HZ_p \arrow[dl] \arrow[d] & \\
H\nu_{p-1} \times \Sigma^2 HZ_p \arrow[r] & gl_1(K_p^\wedge) \arrow[r] & K_p(\widehat{2})
\end{tikzcd}$$

To complete this proof, we need to show that the map $\Sigma^2 HZ_p \to H\nu_{p-1} \vee \Sigma^2 HZ_p \to \Sigma^2 HZ_p$ is an equivalence. The only non zero homotopy group of $\Sigma^2 HZ_p$ is π_2, so it suffices to check that the map $[S^2, CP^\infty] \to H^2(S^2; Z_p)$ as described by the statement is an isomorphism. The left group is isomorphic to Z_p, via $k \mapsto L^k$, $L =$ the tangent bundle of S^2. The right group is $H^2(S^2; Z_p) \cong Z_p$ inside $K_p^\wedge(S^2)^\times$ as elements $1 + k\epsilon$, $\epsilon = 1 - L$. The map between the two is $L^k \mapsto (1-\epsilon)^k = 1 - k\epsilon$ because $\epsilon^2 = 0$, and is evidently an isomorphism. □

5. CALCULATION OF *THH*

In this section, we complete the computation of *THH* for odd primes p. We first parameterise the homotopy classes of extensions f,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S^2 \arrow[r, "\Sigma(1-p)"] \arrow[d, "\sigma"] & \Sigma BGL_1(K_p^\wedge) \arrow[d, "\sigma"] \\
CP^\infty \arrow[r, "f"] & B^2 GL_1(K_p^\wedge)
\end{tikzcd}$$

using the results of the previous section.

Recall that,

$$GL_1(K_p^\wedge) = \nu_{p-1} \times K(Z_p, 2) \times \Omega^\infty K_p(\widehat{2})$$

$$\implies B^2 GL_1(K_p^\wedge) = B^2 \nu_{p-1} \times K(Z_p, 4) \times \Omega^\infty \Sigma^2 K_p(\widehat{2})$$

The condition on the map f is that its restriction to S^2 is $1 - p$. The homotopy classes of maps from S^2 to $B^2 GL_1(K_p^\wedge)$ is split into three factors,

1. $[S^2, B^2\nu_{p-1}] = H^2(S^2; \nu_{p-1}) \cong \nu_{p-1}$
2. $[S^2, K(Z_p, 4)] = H^4(S^2; Z_p) = 0$
3. $[S^2, \Omega^\infty \Sigma^2 K_p(\widehat{2})] = [S^2, \Omega^\infty(\Sigma^2 B \vee \Sigma^4 B_2 \vee \Sigma^6 B \ldots \vee \Sigma^{2p-4} B] = [S^2, \Omega^\infty \Sigma^2 B] = B^2(S^2) \cong Z_p$

In the splitting,

$$[S^2, B^2 GL_1(K_p^\wedge)] = \nu_{p-1} \oplus B^2(S^2) \oplus H^4(S^2; Z_p) = \nu_{p-1} \oplus Z_p \oplus 0,$$

$1-p$ is in the factor Z_p, where it equals $l_p(1-p) = \alpha_p$ and,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_p &= -\frac{1}{p} log((1-p)^{1-p}) \\ &\cong -\frac{1}{p} log(1-(1-p)p) \\ &\cong -1(mod\ p)\end{aligned}$$

5.1. **Calculation at the prime 3.** Let us begin the calculation at the prime 3. The cofibre sequence for $gl_1 K_3^\wedge$ is

$$HZ/2 \vee \Sigma^2 HZ_3 \to gl_1(K_3^\wedge) \to K_3(\widehat{2})$$

and

$$K_3(\widehat{2}) = B \vee \Sigma^2 B_2.$$

Therefore,

$$GL_1 K_p^\wedge = Z/2 \times K(Z_p, 2) \times \Omega^\infty B \times \Omega^\infty B_2.$$

We will study the extension to CP^∞ of the map $1-p$, to the four factors $Z/2$, $K(Z_3, 2)$, $\Omega^\infty B$, $\Omega^\infty B_2$ one by one. Let us start with the factor B. The Adams summands are the eigenspaces of the action of the $(p-1)^{st}$ roots of unity by Adams operations. The spectrum B is fixed by all the Adams operations. The projection from $K_p^{\wedge *}(X)$ to $B^*(X)$ is given by

$$\pi = \frac{1}{p-1}(1 + \psi_\zeta + \psi_{\zeta^2} + \ldots + \psi_{\zeta^{p-2}}),$$

where $\zeta \in \nu_{p-1} \subset Z_p^\times$.

For the prime 3, we can take $\zeta = -1$ and then the projection operator is

$$\pi = \frac{1+\psi_{-1}}{2}$$

Let us start by working out an example.

Example 5.1. Consider the element $\beta L \in K_3^{\wedge 2}(CP^\infty)$ where β is the Bott element. Applying the projection, we get

$$\pi(\beta L) = \frac{\beta(L - L^{-1})}{2}$$

Restricting to S^2, using $L = 1-\epsilon$ and $\epsilon^2 = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\beta((1-\epsilon)-(1-\epsilon)^{-1})}{2} &= \frac{\beta((1-\epsilon)-(1+\epsilon))}{2} \\ &= -\beta\epsilon \\ &= -1\end{aligned}$$

In order for it to be an extension of the kind required, this restriction must be α_3, so we multiply by $-\alpha_3$. This defines,

$$f = -\alpha_3 \frac{\beta(L-L^{-1})}{2}.$$

Recall that, $THH^{K_3^\wedge}(K/3, f)$ is the cofibre of

$$K_3^\wedge \wedge CP^\infty \overset{u-1}{\to} K_3^\wedge \wedge CP^\infty \qquad (\alpha)$$

where $u \in K_3^{\wedge 0}(CP^\infty)^\times = [CP^\infty_+, GL_1(K_3^\wedge)]$ is the adjoint of,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S^2 \wedge CP^\infty_+ \arrow[d, "\mu"] \arrow[rd, "\widetilde{u}"] & \\
CP^\infty \arrow[r, "f"] & B^2GL_1(K_3^\wedge)
\end{tikzcd}$$

The group structure of CP^∞ classifies tensor product of line bundles so, $\mu^*L = L \otimes L$. This implies,

$$\mu^*(f) = -\alpha_3 \frac{\beta(L \otimes L - L^{-1} \otimes L^{-1})}{2}$$

The K theory of S^2 is generated by $\epsilon = 1 - L$ with $\epsilon^2 = 0$. We can rewrite the equation using the generator

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu^*(f) &= -\alpha_3 \frac{\beta((1-\epsilon) \otimes L - (1+\epsilon) \otimes L^{-1})}{2} \\
&= -\alpha_3 \frac{\beta\epsilon \otimes (L + L^{-1})}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the suspension isomorphism (given by $\beta\epsilon = 1$) we get,

$$\mu^*(f) = -\alpha_3 \frac{L + L^{-1}}{2}$$

To get u we need to invert the logarithmic cohomology operation. Suppose that $u = h(x) \in K_3^{\wedge 0}(CP^\infty)^\times$. Then, we have to solve,

$$-\frac{1}{3} log(\frac{\psi_3(h(x))}{h(x)^3}) = -\alpha_3 \frac{L + L^{-1}}{2}$$

$$\implies \frac{\psi_3(h(x))}{h(x)^3} = exp(3\alpha_3 \frac{L + L^{-1}}{2}) \qquad (*)$$

Note that $\psi_3(x) = 1 - (1-x)^3$ and hence,

$$\frac{h(1 - (1-x)^3)}{h(x)^3} = exp(3\alpha_3 \frac{L + L^{-1}}{2})$$

Let us look at the equation $(mod\ 3^2, x^3)$. The right side of the equation can be written in terms of x using $L = 1 - x$, and then, $L^{-1} = 1 + x + x^2\,(mod\ 3^2,\, x^3)$. Therefore, the right side simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned}
exp(3\alpha_3 \frac{L + L^{-1}}{2}) &= exp(3\alpha_3 \frac{2 + x^2}{2}) \\
&= 1 + 3\alpha_3 + 3\frac{\alpha_3 x^2}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we will simplify the left side of (*). Suppose that $h(x) = a + bx + cx^2$. In order to solve the equation, we have to invert l_3. We know that l_3 has a kernel $Z/2 \vee K(Z_3, 2)$, so the equation can be solved once we know the restriction to these.

In the part of $HZ/2$, $\sigma : S^2 \to CP^\infty$ induces an isomorphism in $H^2(-;Z/2)$. Therefore, the extension is 0 here. The map $K_3^{\wedge 0}(CP^\infty)^\times \to H^0(CP^\infty;Z/2)$ sends $a \mapsto a\,(mod\ 3)$ (identifying $Z/2$ with the group of units in $\mathbb{F}_3$). Therefore, since $\mu^*(0) = 0$, we get the equation

$$a \equiv 1\,(mod\ 3)$$

In the factor $K(Z_3,2)$, there is no restriction on f. Assume that it is trivial, so $\mu^*(0) = 0$. This maps into $GL_1(K_3^\wedge)$ by taking a line bundle over CP^∞ to the corresponding unit in K-theory. If we look at $k \in Z_p = H^2(CP^\infty;Z_3) = [CP^\infty, K(Z_3,2)]$, this is the line bundle $L^k = (1-x)^k = 1 - kx + \frac{k(k-1)}{2}x^2\,(mod\, x^3)$. This is the only factor that gives a non zero coefficient of x so, we get that $b = 0$.

Therefore, $h(x) = a + cx^2(mode\ 3^2,\, x^3)$ and $a \equiv 1(mod\ 3)$. The left side of (*) is

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\psi(h(1-(1-x)^3))}{h(x)^3} &\equiv \frac{h(3x-3x^2+x^3)}{h(x)^3}\\ &\equiv \frac{a}{a^3+3ca^2x^2}\\ &\equiv a^{-2}(1-3\frac{c}{a}x^2)\,(mod\ 3^2,\, x^3)\end{aligned}$$

Working $(mod\ 3^2,\, x^3)$, we have

$$\begin{gathered}a^{-2}(1-3\frac{c}{a}x^2) = 1 + 3\alpha_3 + 3\frac{\alpha_3 x^2}{2}\\ \implies a \equiv 1 + 3\alpha_3(mod\ 3^2)\ \ and\ \ c \equiv \alpha_3(mod\ 3)\end{gathered}$$

Thus $a = 1 + 3.(unit)$ and c is a unit (since α_3 is a unit).

Therefore, $u-1$ looks like $3.(unit)+x^2(unit)$. We can choose a different parameterisation for K-theory of CP^∞ to assume that $u - 1 = 3 + x^2$.

Now $K_{3\,*}^\wedge(CP^\infty) = K_{3\,*}^\wedge\{\beta_0,\beta_1,\ldots\}$ where β_i is dual to x^i. Therefore,

$$< (u-1)(\beta_i), x^j > = < \beta_i, x^j(3+x^2) > = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } j = i\\ 1 & \text{if } j = i-2\\ 0 & otherwise\end{cases}$$

Therefore, the map $u - 1$ on $K_{p\,*}^\wedge(CP^\infty)$ is given by

$$(u-1)(\beta_i) = \begin{cases} 3\beta_i & \text{if } i = 0,1\\ 3\beta_i + \beta_{i-2} & \text{if } i > 1\end{cases}$$

Following the cofibre (α), we understand that $u-1$ is injective, and its cokernel has two copies of $Z/(3^\infty)$ in even dimensions. Thus,

$$\pi_k(THH^{K_3^\wedge}(K/3), f) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd}\\ Z/(3^\infty) \oplus Z/(3^\infty) & \text{if } k \text{ is even}\end{cases}$$

completing the calculation in this example.

Now we perform the calculation at the prime 3 for all extensions that are non trivial only on the factor $\Omega^\infty B$ of $GL_1(K_3^\wedge)$. The extension in the example was of this kind. So, we are looking at elements in $B^2(CP^\infty)$ which restrict to α_3 in S^2.

An element in ${K_3^\wedge}^2(CP^\infty)$ is given by $\beta g(x)$. Therefore, an element in $B^2(CP^\infty)$ is

$$\pi(\beta g(x)) = \frac{\beta(g(x) - g(1 - \frac{1}{1-x}))}{2}$$

Suppose that $g(x) = a' + b'x + c'x^2 \,(mod\ 3^2,\, x^3)$. Restricting to S^2 (using $x = \epsilon$ and $\epsilon^2 = 0$) we get b'. We need to get α_3. Thus, to get an extension we must have $b' = \alpha_3$. This gives us all possible extensions f on the factor B. Let us work as before $(mod\ 3^2,\, x^3)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
f &= \frac{\beta(g(x) - g(1 - \frac{1}{1-x}))}{2} \\
&= \frac{\beta(a' + b'x + c'x^2 - g(-x - x^2))}{2} \\
&= \frac{\beta(a' + b'x + c'x^2 - (a' - b'x - b'x^2 + c'x^2))}{2} \\
&= \frac{\beta(2b'x + b'x^2)}{2} \\
&= \beta b'x + \frac{\beta b'}{2}x^2
\end{aligned}$$

We have to calculate u using

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S^2 \times CP^\infty \arrow[d, "\mu"] \arrow[dr, "u"] & \\
CP^\infty \arrow[r, "f"] & B^2GL_1(K_3^\wedge)
\end{tikzcd}$$

By definition, the multiplication map takes x to the formal group, which for K-theory is the multiplicative group. Therefore,

$$x \mapsto \epsilon \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x - \epsilon \otimes x$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Longrightarrow\ x^2 &\mapsto (\epsilon \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x - \epsilon \otimes x)^2 \\
&= 1 \otimes x^2 + 2\epsilon \otimes x - 2\epsilon \otimes x^2
\end{aligned}$$

To get μ^* we must project onto the factor $S^2 \wedge CP^\infty_+$. Thus, we obtain

$$\mu^*(x) = \epsilon \otimes 1 - \epsilon \otimes x\ ,\ \mu^*(x^2) = 2\epsilon \otimes x - 2\epsilon \otimes x^2.$$

Using these formulae and the suspension isomorphism $\beta\epsilon = 1$ we calculate $\mu^*(f)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu^*(f) &= \beta b'(\epsilon \otimes 1 - \epsilon \otimes x) + \frac{\beta b'}{2}(2\epsilon \otimes x - 2\epsilon \otimes x^2) \\
&= b'(1 - x) + \frac{b'}{2}(2x - 2x^2) \\
&= b' - b'x^2
\end{aligned}$$

To get u, we have to invert the logarithmic cohomology operation l_3, as in the example. Suppose that $u = h(x)$. Then, we need to solve

$$l_3(u) = \frac{\psi_3(h(x))}{h(x)^3} = exp(-3b'(1-x^2))$$

We have the formula $\psi_3(x) = 1-(1-x)^3$. Similar to the example, we assume that in our extension the contribution from $HZ/2$ is 1 and HZ_3 is 0. In the same way, this implies that if $h(x) = a + bx + cx^2$,

$$a \equiv 1 (mod\ 3)\ ,\ b = 0$$

Then, the equation becomes,

$$\begin{aligned} a^{-2}(1 - 3\frac{c}{a}x^2) &= exp(-3b'(1-x^2)) \\ &= 1 - 3b' + 3b'x^2 \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we understand that the unit $u = 1 + 3.unit + x^2.unit$, and so, we obtain the same computation

$$\pi_k(THH^{K_3^\wedge}(K/3), f) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \\ Z/(3^\infty) \oplus Z/(3^\infty) & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

Now we want to see what happens if we allow extensions with non trivial contributions from the other 3 factors of $GL_1(K_3^\wedge) = Z/2 \times K(Z_3, 2) \times \Omega^\infty B \times \Omega^\infty B_2$. In the part $Z/2$, the restriction $H^2(CP^\infty; Z/2) \to H^2(S^2; Z/2)$ is an isomorphism. So, this factor always contributes trivially.

For the factor $K(Z_3, 2)$, the group $[S^2, B^2K(Z_3, 2)] = [S^2, K(Z_3, 4)] = H^4(S^2; Z_3) = 0$. Therefore, there is no condition on f here. The group $H^4(CP^\infty; Z_3)$ is generated by x^2 and f is given by ax^2 for some $a \in Z_p$. To compute u, consider:

$$\begin{tikzcd} S^2 \times CP^\infty \arrow[d, "\mu"] \arrow[dr, "u"] & \\ CP^\infty \arrow[r, "f"] & K(Z_3, 4) \end{tikzcd}$$

Note that in this case, $\mu^*(x) = \epsilon \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$, which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(x^2) &= (\epsilon \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x)^2 \\ &= 2\epsilon \otimes x + 1 \otimes x^2 \end{aligned}$$

To get u we have to project to $S^2 \wedge CP^\infty_+$ and apply the suspension isomorphism. Then, we get $2ax \in H^2(CP^\infty; Z_3)$. Recall from the previous section that, from this we get the unit by taking L^{2a}, where $L = (1-x)$ is the canonical line bundle. Therefore, the contribution to u from this factor is $(1-x)^{2a}$.

Now if a is divisible by 3 then, we still get that our $u = 1 + 3.unit + x^2.unit$ which results in the same calculation for $\pi_*(THH^{K_3^\wedge}(K/3, f))$. If a is not divisible by 3 then, it is a unit, so that $u = 1 + 3.unit + x.unit$. Therefore, by reparameterising we can write $u - 1 = 3 + x$.

$$\implies < (u-1)(\beta_i), x^j > = < \beta_i, x^j(3+x) > = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } j = i \\ 1 & \text{if } j = i-1 \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

$$\implies (u-1)(\beta_i) = \begin{cases} 3\beta_i & \text{if } i = 0 \\ 3\beta_i + \beta_{i-1} & \text{if } i > 0 \end{cases}$$

Therefore, in this case,

$$\pi_k(THH^{K_3^\wedge}(K/3), f) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \\ Z/(3^\infty) & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

Now consider the factor $\Sigma^2 B_2$. We know that this is 5-connected. So, if we look at extensions we know that they always restrict to $0 \in K_3^\wedge(CP^2)$. Since we are working $(mod\ x^3)$, this means that these extensions always give 0.

Therefore, we get that, depending on f either $\pi_*(THH^{K_3^\wedge}(K/3, f)) = (Z/(3^\infty))^i$ in even degrees where $i = 1$ or 2 depending on f.

This finishes our calculation at the prime 3.

5.2. **Calculation at primes $\geq$ 5.** Let us now look at the other odd primes and work $(mod\ x^p,\ p^2)$. Recall that there is a splitting

$$GL_1(K_p^\wedge) = \nu_{p-1} \times K(Z_p, 2) \times \Omega^\infty K_p\widehat{(2)}$$

$$K_p\widehat{(2)} = B \vee \Sigma^2 B_2 \vee \dots \Sigma^{2p-4} B$$

We start by working in the factor B of $K_p\widehat{(2)}$. The projection operator from $K_p^{\wedge *}(X)$ to $B^*(X)$ is given by

$$\pi = \frac{1 + \psi_\zeta + \psi_{\zeta^2} + \dots \psi_{\zeta^{p-2}}}{p-1}$$

Define κ to be the composite,

$$K_p^{\wedge *}(CP^\infty) \xrightarrow{\mu^*} K_p^{\wedge *}(S^2 \wedge CP^\infty_+) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_p^{\wedge * -2}(CP^\infty)$$

First observe that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd} K_p^{\wedge 2}(CP^\infty) \arrow[r, "\psi_a"] \arrow[d, "\kappa"] & K_p^{\wedge 2}(CP^\infty) \arrow[d, "\kappa"] \\ K_p^{\wedge 0}(CP^\infty) \arrow[r, "\psi_a"] & K_p^{\wedge 0}(CP^\infty) \end{tikzcd}$$

This implies all Adams operations hence π, commutes with κ.

Write $x = 1 - L$ for the generator in $K_p^{\wedge 2}(CP^\infty)$ amd ϵ its restriction to S^2. We have to look for f as in the diagram,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S^2 \arrow[r, "\Sigma(1-p)"] \arrow[d, "\sigma"] & \Sigma BGL_1(K_p^\wedge) \arrow[d, "\sigma"] \\
CP^\infty \arrow[r, "f"] & B^2GL_1(K_p^\wedge)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Suppose that f is given by $\pi(\beta g(x))$ where $g(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \ldots + a_{p-1}x^{p-1}$ $(mod\ x^p,\ p^2)$.

Claim:

$$\kappa(\beta g(x)) = g'(x)(1-x)$$

Proof. It is enough to check this on the generators x^n. The multiplication takes x to the formal group of K-theory, which is the multiplicative formal group.

$$\mu^*(x) = \epsilon \otimes 1 - \epsilon \otimes x$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu^*(\beta x^n) &= \beta(\epsilon \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x - \epsilon \otimes x)^n \\
&= \beta(1 \otimes x^n + n\epsilon \otimes x^{n-1} - n\epsilon \otimes x^n)
\end{aligned}$$

κ is obtained by projecting this onto the factor $S^2 \wedge CP^\infty_+$ of the product, and then applying the suspension isomorphism ($\beta\epsilon = 1$). Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa(\beta x^n) &= nx^{n-1} - nx^n \\
&= nx^{n-1}(1-x) \\
&= (x^n)'(1-x)
\end{aligned}$$

□

If we restrict f to S^2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(\beta g(\epsilon)) &= \pi(\beta(a_0 + a_1\epsilon)) \\
&= \left(\frac{1 + \psi_\zeta + \psi_{\zeta^2} + \ldots \psi_{\zeta^{p-2}}}{p-1}\right)(\beta(a_0 + a_1\epsilon))
\end{aligned}$$

The action of the Adams operations on the Bott element and ϵ are given by

$$\psi_a(\beta) = \frac{\beta}{a}\ ,\ \psi_a(\epsilon) = 1 - (1-\epsilon)^a = a\epsilon$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(\beta g(\epsilon)) &= \left(\frac{1 + \psi_\zeta + \psi_{\zeta^2} + \ldots \psi_{\zeta^{p-2}}}{p-1}\right)(\beta(a_0 + a_1\epsilon)) \\
&= \frac{\beta(a_0(1 + \zeta^{-1} + \zeta^{-2} + \ldots + \zeta^{2-p}) + (p-1)a_1\epsilon)}{p-1} \\
&= \frac{\beta(a_0(1 + \zeta^{-1} + \zeta^{-2} + \ldots + \zeta^{2-p}))}{p-1} + a_1\epsilon
\end{aligned}$$

Since ζ is a $(p-1)^{st}$ root of unity, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 1+\zeta^{-1}+\zeta^{-2}+\ldots+\zeta^{2-p} &= \zeta^{p-1}+\zeta^{p-2}+\ldots+\zeta \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\pi(\beta g(x))$ restricts to $a_1 \in B^2(S^2)$. Thus, we have that $a_1 = l_p(1-p) = \alpha_p$.

We need to calculate u from the extension $\pi(\beta g(x))$ by solving,

$$\begin{aligned} l_p(u) &= \kappa\pi(\beta g(x)) \\ &= \pi\kappa(\beta g(x)) \\ &= \pi(g'(x)(1-x)) \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $h(x) = g'(x)(1-x) = c_0 + c_1 x + \ldots + c_{p-1}x^{p-1} (mod\ x^p,\ p^2)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(h(x)) &= \frac{1+\psi_\zeta+\psi_{\zeta^2}+\ldots\psi_{\zeta^{p-2}}}{p-1}(h(x)) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \frac{h(1-(1-x)^{\zeta^i})}{p-1} \end{aligned}$$

Let us look at the coefficient of x^a in the above equation.

$$\begin{aligned} [\pi(x^n)]_a &= [\sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \frac{(1-(1-x)^{\zeta^i})^n}{p-1}]_a \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \frac{[(1-(1-x)^{\zeta^i})^n]_a}{p-1} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \frac{[\sum \binom{n}{l}(-1)^l(1-x)^{l\zeta^i}]_a}{p-1} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \frac{\sum \binom{n}{l}(-1^l)\binom{l\zeta^i}{a}}{p-1} \end{aligned}$$

Since ζ is a $(p-1)^{st}$ root of unity,

$$(\zeta)^i + (\zeta^2)^i + \ldots + (\zeta^{p-1})^i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 1, 2, \ldots, p-2 \\ p-1 & \text{if } i = 0, p-1 \end{cases}$$

The binomial coefficient $\binom{y}{a}$ is a polynomial in y of degree a with the constant term 0 and the top coefficient $1/a!$. Therefore,

$$\binom{l(\zeta)}{a} + \binom{l(\zeta^2)}{a} + \ldots + \binom{l(\zeta^{p-1})}{a} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a = 1, 2, \ldots, p-2 \\ \frac{p-1}{(p-1)!}l^{p-1} & \text{if } a = p-1 \\ p-1 & \text{if } a = 0 \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we get

$$[\pi(x^n)]_a = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a = 1, 2, \ldots, p-2 \\ \frac{1}{(p-1)!} \sum \binom{n}{l} (-1)^l l^{p-1} & \text{if } a = p-1 \\ \sum \binom{n}{l} (-1)^l & \text{if } a = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\implies [\pi(x^n)]_0 = \sum \binom{n}{l} (-1)^l = \begin{cases} (1-1)^n = 0 & \text{if } n > 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 0 \end{cases}$$

The other possible non zero coefficient is $[\pi(x^n)]_{p-1}$. If $n = 0$, this must be 0. If $n > 0$ this gives

$$\begin{aligned} [\pi(x^n)]_{p-1} &\equiv \frac{1}{(p-1)!} \sum \binom{n}{l} (-1)^l l^{p-1} \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{(p-1)!} \sum \binom{n}{l} (-1)^l l^{p-1} \\ &\equiv - \sum \binom{n}{l} (-1)^l \\ &\equiv -(1-1)^n + 1 \\ &\equiv 1 \, (mod \ p) \end{aligned}$$

Summarising the calculation $(mod \ p)$, we get

$$[\pi(x^n)]_a = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a = 0, \, n = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } a = p-1, \, n > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Now we are in a position to calculate $\pi(h(x)) \, (mod \ p)$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(h(x)) &= \pi(c_0 + c_1 x + \ldots + c_{p-1} x^{p-1}) \\ &= c_0 \pi(1) + c_1 \pi(x) + \ldots + c_{p-1} \pi(x^{p-1}) \\ &= c_0 + c_1 x^{p-1} + \ldots + c_{p-1} x^{p-1} \\ &= c_0 + b x^{p-1} \end{aligned}$$

where $c_0 = a_1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} b &= c_1 + \ldots c_{p-1} \\ &= a_1 - 2a_2 + 2a_2 - 3a_3 \ldots - (p-1)a_{p-1} + (p-1)a_{p-1} - pa_p \\ &\equiv a_1 \, (mod \ p) \end{aligned}$$

Thus the equation for $u \, (mod \ p)$ reduces to

$$l_p(u) = a_1 + b x^{p-1} \ (mod \ p)$$

$$\implies -\frac{1}{p} log(\frac{\psi_p(u(x))}{u^p}) = a_1 + b x^{p-1} \ (mod \ p)$$

$$\implies \frac{\psi_p(u(x))}{u^p} = exp(-p(a_1 + b x^{p-1})) = 1 - pa_1 + pbx^{p-1} (mod \ p^2)$$

We are looking at extensions which are non trivial only on the factor B. This implies $u(x) \in B^0(CP^\infty)$ which implies u is in the image of π. By the calculations above, this implies that $u(x) = d_0 + d_1 x^{p-1} \, (mod \, x^p)$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\psi_p(u(x))}{u^p} &= \frac{d_0}{{d_0}^p + p d_0^{p-1} d_1 x^{p-1}} \\
&= (d_0)^{1-p}(1 - p\frac{d_1}{d_0}x^{p-1})
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_0^{1-p} &= 1 - pa_1 \\
\implies d_0 &= (1 - pa_1)^{\frac{1}{1-p}} \\
&= 1 - \frac{p}{1-p}a_1 \\
&= 1 - pa_1\,(\,mod\,p^2)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\implies d_1 &= -{d_0}^p b \\
&\equiv -1\,(mod\;p)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $d_0 = 1 + p.unit$ and $d_1 = unit$. Thus, $u = 1 + p.unit + unit.x^{p-1}$. We can reparameterise so that $u = 1 + p + x^{p-1}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
< (u-1)(\beta_i), x^j > &= < \beta_i, x^j(p + x^{p-1}) > \\
&= \begin{cases} p & \text{if } j = i \\ 1 & \text{if } j = i - (p-1) \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases} \\
\implies (u-1)(\beta_i) &= \begin{cases} p\beta_i & \text{if } i = 0 \\ p\beta_i + \beta_{i-(p-1)} & \text{if } i > 0 \end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Inputting this in the long exact sequence (α), we get

$$
\pi_k(THH^{K_p^\wedge}(K/p, f)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \\ (Z/(p^\infty))^{p-1} & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \end{cases}
$$

Now let us look at what happens if we allow non trivial extensions in the other factors. Under restriction to S^2, $H\nu_{p-1}^2(S^2) \cong H\nu_{p-1}^2(CP^\infty) = \nu_{p-1}$. The element $1 - p$ gives $1 \in \nu_{p-1}$. So, this part always contributes trivially.

The factor $\Sigma^2 B_2$ is $(2p-1)$-connected. So, $[CP^{p-1}, \Sigma^2 B_2] = 0$. Thus $(mod\;x^p)$ this factor is always trivial.

Next lets look at the factor $\Sigma^2 HZ_p$. Since $[S^2, \Sigma^4 HZ_p] = HZ_p^4(S^2) = 0$, we have no condition on f from this factor. The group $HZ_p^4(CP^\infty)$ is generated by x^2. Suppose that f is given by $ax^2 \in HZ_p^4(CP^\infty)$. To compute the contribution to u, we have the diagram,

$$
\begin{tikzcd}
S^2 \times CP^\infty \arrow[d, "\mu"] \arrow[dr, "u"] & \\
CP^\infty \arrow[r, "f"] & K(Z_p, 4)
\end{tikzcd}
$$

Under μ, x pulls back to the formal group and thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^*(x^2) &= (\epsilon \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x)^2 \\ &= 2\epsilon \otimes x + 1 \otimes x^2\end{aligned}$$

Projecting this to the factor $S^2 \wedge CP^\infty_+$, and applying the suspension isomorphism we get $2ax \in HZ_p^2(CP^\infty)$. The map from $HZ_p^2(CP^\infty) \to [CP^\infty, GL_1(K_p^\wedge)] = K_p^{\wedge 0}(CP^\infty)^\times$ is given by $\alpha x \to (1+x)^\alpha$.

Therefore, if a is divisible by p then we still get that $u = 1 + p.unit + x^{p-1}.unit$. This does not change the calculation of $THH^{K_p^\wedge}(K/p, f)$. If a is not divisible by p, then it is a unit. Then, $u = 1 + p.unit + x.unit$. This can be reparameterised to $u = 1 + p + x$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}< (u-1)(\beta_i), x^j > &= < \beta_i, x^j(p+x) > \\ &= \begin{cases} p & \text{if } j = i \\ 1 & \text{if } j = i-1 \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases} \\ \implies (u-1)(\beta_i) &= \begin{cases} p\beta_i & \text{if } i = 0 \\ p\beta_i + \beta_{i-1} & \text{if } i > 0 \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\pi_k(THH^{K_p^\wedge}(K/p, f)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \\ Z/(p^\infty) & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

The other factors are $\Sigma^{2k}B$ for $k = 2, 3, \ldots p-2$. These correspond to the eigenspaces of the action of the Adams operations where ψ_{ζ^i} acts as ζ^{ki}. The projection operator is given by

$$\pi_k = \frac{1 + \zeta^{-k}\psi_\zeta + \zeta^{-2k}\psi_{\zeta^2} + \ldots + \zeta^{-k(p-2)}\psi_{\zeta^{p-2}}}{p-1}$$

The group $[S^2, \Omega^\infty\Sigma^2\Sigma^{2k}B] = B^{2k+2}(S^2) = 0$, so, there is no condition on restriction to S^2. Then, we may choose any $\pi_k(\beta h(x))$ for f, and u must satisfy,

$$\begin{aligned}l_p(u) &= \kappa(\pi_k(\beta h(x))) \\ &= \pi_k(\kappa(\beta h(x))) \\ &= \pi_k(f'(x)(1-x))\end{aligned}$$

Now assume $g(x) = h'(x)(1-x) = c_0 + c_1 x + \ldots + c_{p-1}x^{p-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_k(g(x)) &= \frac{1 + \zeta^{-k}\psi_\zeta + \zeta^{-2k}\psi_{\zeta^2} + \ldots + \zeta^{-k(p-2)}\psi_{\zeta^{p-2}}}{p-1}(g(x)) \\ &= \frac{1 + \zeta^{-k}g(1-(1-x)^\zeta) + \zeta^{-2k}g(1-(1-x)^{\zeta^2}) + \ldots \zeta^{-k(p-2)}g(1-(1-x)^{\zeta^{p-2}})}{p-1}\end{aligned}$$

The following proposition is useful to complete the calculation

Proposition 5.2. There is a polynomial $f_k(x) = x^k + a_{k+1}x^{k+1} + \ldots$ such that, $Im(\pi_k)$ has polynomials that are multiples of $f_k \,(mod\ x^p)$.

Proof. These polynomials are in the p-adic K-theory of CP^{∞}. By looking $(mod\ x^p)$, we are restricting to the K-theory of CP^{p-1}. It splits into eigenspaces

$$K_p^{\wedge 0}(CP^{p-1}) = \oplus_{k=0}^{p-2}\Lambda_k$$

where $\Lambda_k = [CP^{p-1}, \Omega^\infty\Sigma^{2k}B] = B^{2k}(CP^{p-1})$ is the eigenspace on which the Adams operations ψ_ζ act as multiplication by ζ^k. π_k is the projection on to the eigenspace Λ_k. In this decomposition, $dim(\Lambda_0) = 2$ and $dim(\Lambda_k) = 1$ for all $k \geq 1$. Therefore, $\Lambda_k = span(f_k)$ for some polynomial f_k. To see how the polynomial f_k looks like we compute $\pi_k(x)$ (note $\pi_k(1) = 0$, so we don't get any information out of it).

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_k(x) &= \frac{1 + \zeta^{-k}\psi_\zeta + \zeta^{-2k}\psi_{\zeta^2} + \ldots + \zeta^{-k(p-2)}\psi_{\zeta^{p-2}}}{p-1}(x)\\ &= \frac{1}{p-1}\sum_{i=0}^{p-2}\zeta^{-ik}(1-(1-x)^{\zeta^i})\\ &= \frac{1}{p-1}\sum_{i=0}^{p-2}\zeta^{-ik}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{i-1}\binom{\zeta^i}{n}x^n\\ &= \frac{1}{p-1}\sum_{i=0}^{p-2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{i-1}\zeta^{-ik}\binom{\zeta^i}{n}x^n\end{aligned}$$

Lets look at the coefficient of x^n in the above formula. $\binom{y}{n}$ is a polynomial of degree n in y, and therefore, $-1)^{i-1}y^{-k}\binom{y}{n}$ has terms of degree $-k$ to $-k+n$. So, if we sum the series, it is 0 if $k < n$. Thus, the first possible non zero coefficient of x is in degree k. The coefficient of x^k in $\pi_k(x)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}[\pi_k(x)]_k &\equiv \frac{1}{p-1}\sum_{i=0}^{p-2}(-1)^{i-1}\zeta^{-ik}\binom{\zeta^i}{k}\\ &\equiv \frac{1}{p-1}\sum_{i=0}^{p-2}(-1)^{i-1}\frac{1}{k!}\\ &\equiv \frac{1}{(p-1)k!}\\ &\neq 0\,(mod\ p)\end{aligned}$$

So, this is a unit in Z_p. Therefore, $Im(\pi_k) = Span(f_k)$ where f_k looks like $x^k + O(x^{k+1})$. □

Therefore, $\pi_k(g(x)) = cf_k(x)$ for some constant c. The equation for u is

$$l_p(u) = \pi_k(g(x)) = cf_k(x)$$

$$\Longrightarrow\ \frac{\psi_p(u)}{u^p} = exp(-pcf_k(x))$$

If c is divisible by p, then $(mod\ p^2)$ the above equation is 0. If c is not divisible by p, then the coefficient of x^k in the right side is p times an unit. We can solve for u as in the cases before. From here, we get a contribution $= unit.x^k$. Therefore, the unit becomes $u = 1 + p.unit + x^k.unit$. As before, we have the long exact sequence (α)

$$K^{\wedge}_{p\,*}(CP^{\infty}) \overset{u-1}{\to} K^{\wedge}_{p\,*}(CP^{\infty}) \to \pi_*(THH^{K^{\wedge}_p}(K/p, f))$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned} < (u-1)(\beta_i), x^j > &= < \beta_i, x^j(p + x^k) > \\ &= \begin{cases} p & \text{if } j = i \\ 1 & \text{if } j = i-k \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases} \\ \implies (u-1)(\beta_i) &= \begin{cases} p\beta_i & \text{if } i = 0 \\ p\beta_i + \beta_{i-k} & \text{if } i > 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain that

$$\implies \pi_n(THH^{K^{\wedge}_p}(K/p, f)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ (Z/(p^{\infty}))^k & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

This ends the calculation for all odd primes. The homotopy groups of $THH^{K^{\wedge}_p}(K/p)$ are 0 in odd degrees and $(Z/(p^{\infty}))^k$ in even degrees, where k is a number between 1 and $p-1$ depending on the A_{∞} structure on K/p. This result was proved before by Angeltveit ([1]). He used the Bökstedt spectral sequence to calculate Topological Hochschild Homology.

Remark 5.3. : This is the calculation identifying K/p as the Thom spectrum of S^1. A similar calculation can be carried out for the Thom spectrum of S^3 to get the same results.

REFERENCES

[1] V. Angeltveit. *Topological Hochschild homology and cohomology of A_{∞} ring spectra.* Geometry and Topology 12 (2008). 1223-1290.

[2] M.Ando, A.J.Blumberg, D.Gepner, M.J. Hopkins, and C.Rezk. *Units of ring spectra and Thom spectra.* Preprint, 2008.

[3] A.Blumberg. *Topological Hochschild Homology of Thom spectra which are E_{∞} ring spectra.* Preprint, 2008.

[4] A.Blumberg, R.L.Cohen, and C.Schlichtkrull. *Topological Hochschild homology of Thom spectra and the free loop space.* Preprint, 2008.

[5] A.D.Elmendorf, I.Kriz, M.Mandell, and J.P.May. *Rings, modules and algebras in stable homotopy theory.* American Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 1997. With an appendix by M.Cole.

[6] M.J.Hopkins. *$K(1)$-local E_{∞} ring spectra.* Preprint.

[7] L.G.Lewis, J.P.May, M.Steinberger, and J.E.Mcclure. *Equivariant stable homotopy theory.* Lecture Notes in Math. 1213. With contributions by J.E. Mcclure.

[8] J.P.May, F.Quinn, and N.Ray. *E_{∞} ring spaces and E_{∞} ring spectra.* Lecture Notes in Math. 577.

[9] C.Rezk. *The units of a ring spectrum and a logarithmic cohomology operation.* J. Amer. Math. 19 (2006). 969-1014.

[10] C.Schlichtkrull. *Units of ring spectra and their traces in Algebraic K-theory.* Geometry and Topology 8 (2004). 645-673.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN,DENMARK

E-mail address: `basu@math.ku.dk`